

SURVEILLANCE REPORT

Weekly influenza surveillance overview

15 November 2013

Main surveillance developments in week 45/2013 (4–10 November 2013)

This first page contains the main developments for this week and can be printed separately or together with the more detailed information that follows.

During week 45/2013:

- All 27 reporting countries experienced low intensity influenza activity.
- Of 375 sentinel specimens tested across 19 countries, 2% were positive for influenza virus.
- One hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza case was reported by the UK.

During the first six weeks of the 2013–2014 influenza season, there has been no evidence of sustained influenza activity in Europe.

Sentinel surveillance of influenza-like illness (ILI)/ acute respiratory infection (ARI): Low intensity was reported by all 27 reporting countries. For more information, [click here](#).

Virological surveillance: Nineteen countries tested sentinel specimens, of which 2% were positive for influenza virus. For more information, [click here](#).

Hospital surveillance of laboratory-confirmed influenza cases. The UK reported one hospitalised case infected by a type B virus. For more information, [click here](#).

Sentinel surveillance (ILI/ARI)

Weekly analysis – epidemiology


For week 45/2013, clinical data were reported by 27 countries, all of which experienced low-intensity influenza activity, the lowest category of reporting (Table 1, Map1).

Geographic patterns of influenza activity were reported as sporadic by Denmark, France, Norway, Slovakia, Sweden and the UK (Scotland). All other countries reported no activity (Table 1, Map 2).

Increasing trends were reported by Bulgaria, Poland, the UK (Northern Ireland and Scotland), while all other countries reported stable trends (Table 1, Map 2). The incidence of ILI/ARI was below epidemic thresholds in all countries.

Map 1. Intensity for week 45/2013**Intensity**

- [Grey square] No report
- [Yellow square] Low
- [Orange square] Medium
- [Red square] High
- [Dark red square] Very High



Liechtenstein

Luxembourg

Malta

(C) ECDC/Dundas/TESSy

* A type/subtype is reported as dominant when at least ten samples have been detected as influenza positive in the country and of those > 40 % are positive for the type/subtype.

Legend:

No report	Intensity level was not reported	+	Increasing clinical activity
Low	No influenza activity or influenza at baseline levels	-	Decreasing clinical activity
Medium	Usual levels of influenza activity	=	Stable clinical activity
High	Higher than usual levels of influenza activity		
Very high	Particularly severe levels of influenza activity		


Map 2. Geographic spread for week 45/2013

Table 1. Epidemiological and virological overview by country, week 45/2013

Country	Intensity	Geographic spread	Trend	No. of sentinel specimens	Dominant type	Percentage positive	ILI per 100 000	ARI per 100 000	Epidemiological overview	Virological overview
Austria	Low	No activity	Stable	3	None	0.0	816.1	-	Graphs	Graphs
Belgium	Low	No activity	Stable	14	None	0.0	25.9	1509.3	Graphs	Graphs
Bulgaria	Low	No activity	Increasing	0	None	0.0	-	809.7	Graphs	Graphs
Croatia				0	None	0.0	-	-	Graphs	Graphs
Cyprus	Low	No activity	Stable	-	-	0.0	-*	-*	Graphs	Graphs
Czech Republic	Low	No activity	Stable	14	None	0.0	18.0	782.3	Graphs	Graphs
Denmark	Low	Sporadic	Stable	4	None	0.0	20.6	-	Graphs	Graphs
Estonia	Low	No activity	Stable	0	None	0.0	5.7	196.0	Graphs	Graphs
Finland	Low	No activity	Stable	9	None	0.0	-	-	Graphs	Graphs
France	Low	Sporadic	Stable	24	-	0.0	-	1229.3	Graphs	Graphs
Germany	Low	No activity	Stable	52	None	0.0	-	1011.8	Graphs	Graphs
Greece	Low	No activity	Stable	0	None	0.0	62.8	-	Graphs	Graphs
Hungary	Low	No activity	Stable	12	None	0.0	42.9	-	Graphs	Graphs
Iceland				0	-	0.0	-	-	Graphs	Graphs
Ireland	Low	No activity	Stable	6	None	0.0	5.6	-	Graphs	Graphs
Italy	Low	No activity	Stable	-	-	0.0	62.0	-	Graphs	Graphs
Latvia	Low	No activity	Stable	0	None	0.0	0.0	866.6	Graphs	Graphs
Lithuania	Low	No activity	Stable	6	None	0.0	0.6	462.1	Graphs	Graphs
Luxembourg	Low	No activity	Stable	0	-	0.0	-*	-*	Graphs	Graphs
Malta				0	None	0.0	-*	-*	Graphs	Graphs
Netherlands	Low	No activity	Stable	6	None	0.0	23.4	-	Graphs	Graphs
Norway	Low	Sporadic	Stable	13	None	7.7	24.3	-	Graphs	Graphs
Poland	Low	No activity	Increasing	14	None	0.0	182.5	-	Graphs	Graphs
Portugal	Low	No activity	Stable	0	None	0.0	0.0	-	Graphs	Graphs
Romania	Low	No activity	Stable	4	-	0.0	2.0	604.4	Graphs	Graphs
Slovakia	Low	Sporadic	Stable	7	None	0.0	118.0	1377.8	Graphs	Graphs
Slovenia	Low	No activity	Stable	1	None	0.0	0.0	679.9	Graphs	Graphs
Spain	Low	No activity	Stable	57	None	1.8	13.6	-	Graphs	Graphs
Sweden	Low	Sporadic	Stable	42	None	7.1	6.3	-	Graphs	Graphs
UK - England	Low	No activity	Stable	57	None	3.5	2.1	153.1	Graphs	Graphs
UK - Northern Ireland	Low	No activity	Increasing	2	None	0.0	13.2	352.8	Graphs	Graphs
UK - Scotland	Low	Sporadic	Increasing	28	None	0.0	10.4	363.8	Graphs	Graphs
UK - Wales				-	-	0.0	-	-		
Europe				375		1.9				Graphs

*Incidence per 100 000 is not calculated for these countries as no population denominator is provided.
Liechtenstein does not report to the European Influenza Surveillance Network.

Description of the system

Surveillance is based on nationally organised sentinel networks of physicians, mostly general practitioners (GPs), covering at least 1 to 5% of the population in their countries. All EU/EEA Member States (except Liechtenstein) participate. Depending on their country's choice, each sentinel physician reports the weekly number of patients seen with ILI, ARI, or both to a national focal point. From the national level, both numerator and denominator data are then reported to the European Surveillance System (TESSy) database. Additional semi-quantitative indicators of intensity, geographic spread, and trend of influenza activity at the national level are also reported.

Virological surveillance

Weekly analysis – virology

For week 45/2013, 19 countries tested a total of 375 sentinel specimens, of which seven (1.9 %) were positive for influenza virus. Five were subtyped as A(H1)pdm09 and two as A(H3) (Tables 1–2).

In addition, 33 non-sentinel source specimens (e.g. specimens collected for diagnostic purposes in hospitals) were found to be positive for influenza virus, 27 type A viruses and six type B. Of 13 subtyped influenza A viruses, eight were A(H1)pdm09 and five A(H3) (Table 2).

Of the seven antigenic characterisations of influenza A viruses reported for sentinel and non-sentinel specimens since week 40/2013, three have been characterised as A(H1)pdm09 A/California/7/2009 (H1N1)-like, and three A(H1)pdm09 and one as B(Yamagata) lineage virus could not be attributed to any of the reportable categories (Table 3).

Since week 40/2013, 11 genetic characterisations of influenza viruses have been reported for sentinel and non-sentinel specimens. Of these, two belonged to A(H1)pdm09 genetic group 6 represented by A/St Petersburg/27/2011, eight to A(H3) the A/Victoria/208/2009 clade, falling within genetic group 3C, represented by A/Texas/50/2012, and one to B(Yamagata)-lineage clade 2 represented by B/Massachusetts/02/2012 (Table 4). For details of the current virus strains recommended by WHO for vaccine preparation [click here](#).

More details on viruses circulating between 1 January and 31 May 2013 can be found in the [September report](#) prepared by the European Reference Laboratory Network for Human Influenza (ERLI-Net) coordination team.

Since week 40/2013, three A(H1)pdm09 viruses have been tested for susceptibility against neuraminidase inhibitors but none showed genetic or phenotypic (IC50) evidence for reduced inhibition by neuraminidase inhibitors.

For week 45/2013, ten countries reported 184 respiratory syncytial virus detections. RSV detections are still at low levels compared to the previous season (Figure 3).

Table 2. Weekly and cumulative influenza virus detections by type, subtype and surveillance system, week 40–45/2013

Virus type/subtype	Current period Sentinel	Current period Non-sentinel	Season Sentinel	Season Non-sentinel
Influenza A	7	27	21	136
A(H1)pdm09	5	8	10	38
A(H3)	2	5	10	30
A(sub-type unknown)	0	14	1	68
Influenza B	0	6	6	27
B(Vic) lineage	0	0	0	0
B(Yam) lineage	0	1	0	5
Unknown lineage	0	5	6	22
Total influenza	7	33	27	163

Note: A(H1)pdm09 and A(H3) include both N-subtyped and non-N-subtyped viruses




Figure 1. Proportion of sentinel specimens positive for influenza virus, weeks 40–45/2013**Figure 2. Number of sentinel specimens positive for influenza virus, by type, subtype and by week of report, weeks 40–45/2013**

Table 3. Results of antigenic characterisations of sentinel and non-sentinel influenza virus isolates, weeks 40–45/2013

Antigenic group	Number of viruses
A(H1)pdm09 A/California/7/2009 (H1N1)-like	3
A(H1)pdm09 not attributed to category	3
B(Yam) lineage not attributed to category	1

Table 4. Results of genetic characterisations of sentinel and non-sentinel influenza virus isolates, weeks 40–45/2013

Phylogenetic group	Number of viruses
A(H1)pdm09 group 6 representative A/St Petersburg/27/2011	2
A(H3) clade repr. A/Victoria/208/2009 – A/Texas/50/2012 subgroup (3C)	8
B(Yam)-lineage clade 2 representative B/Massachusetts/02/2012	1

Figure 3. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) detections, sentinel and non-sentinel, weeks 40–45/2013

Description of the system

According to the nationally defined sampling strategy, sentinel physicians take nasal or pharyngeal swabs from patients with ILI, ARI or both and send the specimens to influenza-specific reference laboratories for virus detection, (sub-)typing, antigenic or genetic characterisation and antiviral susceptibility testing.

For details of the current virus strains recommended by WHO for vaccine preparation [click here](#).

Hospital surveillance – severe influenza disease

Weekly analysis of hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza cases

Since week 40/2013, three countries have reported 14 hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza cases (Table 5).

For week 45/2013, one hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza B case was reported by the UK (Table 6).

Of the 14 hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza cases reported since week 40/2013, seven cases were related to influenza type A and seven to type B (Table 6).

Table 5. Cumulative number of hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza cases, weeks 40–45/2013

Country	Number of cases	Incidence of cases per 100 000 population	Number of fatal cases reported	Incidence of fatal cases per 100 000 population	Estimated population covered
Ireland	1				
Sweden	1				
United Kingdom	12	0.02			63705030
Total	14		0		

Table 6. Number of hospitalised laboratory-confirmed influenza cases by influenza type and subtype, week 45/2013 and cumulative for the season

Pathogen	Number of cases during current week	Cumulative number of cases since the start of the season
Influenza A		7
A(H1)pdm09		3
A(H3)		
A(sub-typing not performed)		4
Influenza B	1	7
Total	1	14

The EuroMOMO mortality monitoring system

Week 45: All-cause mortality has been within the normal range for all reporting countries. Further details are available on <http://www.euromomo.eu>

This report was written by an editorial team at the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC): Cornelia Adlroch, Eeva Broberg, Julien Beauté and René Snacken. The bulletin text was reviewed by European Reference Laboratory Network for Human Influenza (ERLI-Net) coordination team: Adam Meijer, Rod Daniels, John McCauley and Maria Zambon. On behalf of the EISN members, the bulletin text was reviewed by Maja Šočan (Institut za varovanje zdravja), Allison Waters (University College Dublin) and Tyra Grove Krause (Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen). In addition, the report is reviewed by experts of WHO Regional Office for Europe.

Maps and commentary published in this Weekly Influenza Surveillance Overview (WISO) do not represent a statement on the part of ECDC or its partners on the legal or border status of the countries and territories shown.

All data published in the WISO are up-to-date on the day of publication. Past this date, however, published data should not be used for longitudinal comparisons as countries tend to retrospectively update their database.