

data.europa academy webinar ‘Data spaces: experience from the Public Procurement Data Space’ 27/06/2025 - Q&A

Question	Answer
How are coordination and advances, regarding Spain for example, currently?	We are in touch with all countries about the connection to the PPDS. While some countries are more hesitant than others, we expect that all countries will connect to the PPDS.
In the architecture presented, it does not seem to me that data connectors are present. Is there a reason for not using them?	TED data is available through a SPARQL endpoint offered by the Publications Office. The data of the PPDS will be made available through Simpl Open, in 2027.
Are there current efforts or opportunities to contribute to metadata standardisation or ontology development in the field of Physical Activity (PA), particularly in alignment with FAIR principles as well as national-level implementations, such as DCAT-GR?	Thank you for the question. To be honest, the topic of metadata standardisation or ontology development specifically in the field of Physical Activity is outside the scope of my current work, which is focused on public procurement. While I understand the broader importance of FAIR principles and metadata alignment, I’m not aware of specific initiatives in the physical activity domain. That said, it’s a very interesting angle, and I can see how it might intersect with public health-related procurement in some contexts.
Providing a sparql endpoint to the public is challenging and expensive, is there any chance to have a simpler, more stable linked data interface?	Correctly only a SPARQL endpoint is implemented. We are exploring the possibility of implementing GraphRAG based chat UI, using MCP. Besides this, as mentioned above, we plan to provide access through Simpl Open. If you have suggestions, please submit them https://code.europa.eu/eproc/ppds/crs/-/issues/new
Is the triple store an open-source one?	Not at the moment. We used a triple store available at the Commission, which is a commercial solution. We’ll start testing open-source alternatives in the future.
How about the connector? Is EDC being implemented?	In the beginning of the project, we were testing it. However, due to the technical challenges and the use cases we decided to move forward without EDC. Connectors make sense when the system is fully federated.
What methodology should be followed for the analysis of public data, taking into account its security?	The analysis of public data is an activity independent of its security. If your question is

	regarding if we handle SNC data, it is not the case.
What is your definition of your POC exactly? Could you link it to a TRL-level?	We are running different PoCs which can be mapped to different TRL-levels. Our current transformation PoC can be linked with TRL-6
where can i find information about the GitLab2RDF transformation? Is it open source?	<p>It works the following way: As you can see in the PPRB, the rules are GitLab issues created with the rules template and governed according to the methodology described in the README https://code.europa.eu/eproc/ppds/public-procurement-rulebook</p> <p>Then here's how the harvester works:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A GraphQL request is sent to the GitLab GraphQL API to get a JSON representation of the issues 2. The JSON result is post-processed to extract additional data from the markdown and saved into disk. (this way both the metadata structure of GitLab issue and the template structure indicated by headings is mapped to RDF (using SKOS mostly and a bit of PROV-O) 3. SPARQL-Anything is used to convert the JSON data into RDF format using a query. 4. The resulting RDF is written to a file. <p>Currently it's not open source but should be at some point. The harvester is in alpha testing now but if you are interested, we'll be happy to share to share the code so you can try it out.</p>
Is your keycloak integrated to eIDAS	No, the next step will be the integration with EU Login