Thoughts on PSI Re-use In Poland

Submitted on 27 Jun 2011 by

After several years of discussions, elaborating and consulting, Polish governmenthas decided the manner of implementation the EU Directive 2003/98/EC on re-use of publicsector information. For many of us, who where engaged in the process from the side ofNGO's dealing with Freedom of Information this was also the possibility to present our viewson the existing legislation, as well as to share our experiences that might help to implement directive within the perspective of the idea of transparency and openness. The final effectis satisfactory, but there are still some serious concerns about the government's philosophy onopen data.

While some organizations triumphed over the <u>Prime Minister statement</u> "That which is created with public money is in the public domain, and therefore also for those who wantto use it in any manner chosen by them" the rest are much more restrained. Especially that hisdeclaration was understood as the agreement for re-using PSI without any charges. The daybefore his speech, Donald Tusk together with his ministers accepted the guidelines for the billimplementing the directive. The wording of the document is quite opposite to what was saidand then underlined by some of bloggers and internet activists. There is also a wide concernabout the lack of activities towards popularizing the re-use within numerous public bodies, which at the end will take whole responsibility in sharing PSI with citizens.

Council of Ministers accepted that public bodies will have the opportunity to createtheir own conditions under which the information will be transmitted to re-use. That includes loo the acceptance to charge entities wishing to make use of PSI. In the guidelines, we could find the promise that government will prepare the executive regulation describing exemplaryconditions of re-use. Unfortunately, we have not heard any formal propositions on its futures hape. Giving public bodies a choice to charge for the re-use or not will definitely lead to the situation that citizens and entrepreneurs will be obliged to cover some costs. When publicofficials are asked what will be their attitude when they will have a possibility to get some extra money for their offices, vast majority rise their hands stating that beyond all doubts theywill exercise their power to gain some money. In that atmosphere, we are not able to predict factual impact of the accepted document, but bearing in mind examples of misusing the provisions of binding FOI legislation, we are worried that what was said by the PrimeMinister was a purely political statement with no practical consequences.